C.S. 1001

SINGLE BENCH (5)

P.R. No. 1115/06
Presented by Shri D.K. Singh
dated 22/12/06

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition No. 187 /2006

PETITIONERS : 1. Rajendra Prasad Sharma,
S/o Shri Tilak Ram Sharma,
Aged about 47 years,
Occupation-Service,
R/o Post- Bhainso, Tahsil- Pamgarh,
District- Janjgir Champa (C.G.).

2. Faguram Chaturgosthi,
S/o Shri Ishwer Das Chaturgosthi,
Aged about 34 years,
Occupation- Service,
R/o Behind Gurughansi Das School,
Nehru Nagar, Bilaspur (C.G.).

- Versus-

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of Chhattisgarh, through
Secretary, Women & Child
Development and Social Welfare
Department, Mantralaya,
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).

2. Director,
Panchayat & Social Services,
Directorate, Chhattisgarh,
Raipur (C.G.).

3. The Secretary,
School Education Department,
Mantralaya, D. K. S. Bhawan,
Raipur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A WRIT OF MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION, CERTIORARI ETC.OR ANY OTHER DIRECTION OR ORDER TO DO JUSTICE IN THE MATTER.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(41)

आदेश पत्रक

W.P.NO. 01687/06

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	3-4-2006 Shri K.K. Singh, counsel for the petitioners. Shri Sushil Dubey, Govt. Adv. for the State. Heard. Counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have filed this writ petition seeking a direction to the respondents to absorb the petitioners either as Upper Division Teacher in the School Education Department or as Panchayat Avam Samaj Shiksha Sangathak in Panchayat and Social Welfare Department. He further submits that the matter is similar to that of W.P. 3929/2003 and orders have been passed in that case on 25-10-2005. He prays for similar order. Prayer is not opposed by the other side. Having thus considered, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioners to make a detailed representation raising their grievances before the competent authority of the respondents along with a copy of the petition and this order, within 10 days and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of the respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within six weeks from the date of receipt of the representation. It is made clear that if any grievance still	

[पीछे देखिये

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

42

आदेश पत्रक

W.P.No.1687/06

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित —2—	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

remains, the petitioners may avail the remedy available under the law.

Counsel for the petitioners made a categorical statement that the petitioners are still in service and they have not been relieved. He submits that they be allowed to continue till the representation is decided.

It is directed that if the petitioners are still in service and they have not been relieved, they be allowed to continue till the representation is decided. However, it made clear that if they are not in service, this part of the order will have no effect.

With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

Pathak

10/4/06

[पीछे देखिये